

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,099	07/24/2001	Zuoxing Yu	CSA 2 0145	5530
7:	590 04/07/2004		EXAM	INER
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE, LLP			AHMED, SHEEBA	
Seventh Floor 1100 Superior	A venue		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Cleveland, OH	44114-2518		1773	
			DATE MAIL ED. 04/07/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/912,099	YU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
<u>. </u>	Sheeba Ahmed	1773					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	ecorrespondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered time om the mailing date of this NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0:	2 January 2004.						
•	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 21-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exame 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) application =	drawn from consideration. d/or election requirement.	e Examiner					
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Strection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 (
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner, Note the attached On	ce Action of form 1	10 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic priority documents have been rece reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No vived in this Nationa	al Stage				
Attachment(s)		on (DTO 442)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 			ГО-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/912,099

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Amendments to claims 21 and 29 have been entered in the above-identified application. Claims 21-30 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 21-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Independent claims 21 and 29 have been amended to recite that the abrasion resistant layer is "single phase". There is no support for such an amendment in the original disclosure. The Examiner was unable to finds any explicit or implicit reference to a "single phase" abrasion resistant layer in the original disclosure and the Applicants have failed to point to any specific support for such an amendment. Furthermore, lines 8-11 of Page 11 state that the abrasion resistant layer can contain additives such as fillers and hence indicates that the abrasion resistant layer is not single phase.

Appropriate clarification or amendment is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/912,099

Art Unit: 1773

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, filed January 2, 2004, with respect to the rejection of claims 21 and 24-29 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Honda et al. (US 6,110,546) as evidenced by Contemporary Polymer Chemistry, 2nd Edition, last paragraph of page 10 and the rejection of claims 22, 23, and 30 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honda et al. (US 6,110,546) (as evidenced by Contemporary Polymer Chemistry, 2nd Edition, last paragraph of page 10) in view of Scott et al. (US 3,6546,155) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the amendment to independent claims 21 and 29.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/912,099

Art Unit: 1773

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sheeba Ahmed whose telephone number is (571)272-

1504. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Thursdays from 8am to

6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Paul Thibodeau can be reached on (571)272-1516. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sheeba Ahmed

Art Unit 1773 April 2, 2004